UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,516	12/16/2008	Walter Keith Jones	10738-103 7508	
24256 DINSMORE &	7590 03/23/201 SHOHL LLP	1	EXAMINER	
1900 CHEMED	CENTER	SHEN, WU CHENG WINSTON		
255 EAST FIFTH STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/596,516	JONES, WALTER KEITH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		WU-CHENG SHEN	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	S					
1) 2a) 3)		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispo	sition of Claims					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	:/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.			
Application Papers						
10)	 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` '		
Priori	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2)	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

The requirement for species election documented in this office action is necessitated by claim amendments filed by Applicant on 01/26/2011, which include amendments of claims 1 and 6 and addition of new claims 30-33.

Election/Restrictions

1. (I) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (i) the domain is NF-κB-specific (recited in claim 6), (ii) each domain comprises from about 14 to about 40 nucleotide base pairs (recited in independent claim 30), and (iii) each domain comprises from about 12 to about 25 nucleotide base pairs (recited in independent claim 31). The species are independent or distinct because they are distinct domains and/or with different numbers of base pairs recognized by different transcription factors. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, or a single grouping of patentably indistinct species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, independent claim 2 is generic.

There is a search and/or examination burden for the patentably distinct species as set forth above because at least the following reason(s) apply: The species are independent and distinct because they are distinct domains and/or with different numbers of base pairs recognized by different transcription factors.

Art Unit: 1632

MPEP §806.04(f) 806.04(f) on Restriction Between Mutually Exclusive Species [R-3] specifies that "Where two or more species are claimed, a requirement for restriction to a single species may be proper if the species are mutually exclusive. Claims to different species are mutually exclusive if one claim recites limitations disclosed for a first species but not a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species and not the first. This may also be expressed by saying that to require restriction between claims limited to species; the claims must not overlap in scope".

(II) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

(i) the concatemerized double-stranded oligonucleotide molecule comprises at least 15 end-toend repeated copies of a domain (recited in claim 32)and (ii) the concatemerized doublestranded oligonucleotide molecule comprises at least 20 end-to-end repeated copies of a domain
(recited in claim 33). The species are independent or distinct because they are domains with
different numbers of end-to-end repeats recognized by different transcription factors. In
addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, or a single grouping of patentably indistinct species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, independent claim 2 is generic.

There is a search and/or examination burden for the patentably distinct species as set forth above because at least the following reason(s) apply: The species are independent and distinct because they are domains with different numbers of end-to-end repeats recognized by different transcription factors.

Art Unit: 1632

MPEP §806.04(f) 806.04(f) on Restriction Between Mutually Exclusive Species [R-3] specifies that "Where two or more species are claimed, a requirement for restriction to a single species may be proper if the species are mutually exclusive. Claims to different species are mutually exclusive if one claim recites limitations disclosed for a first species but not a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species and not the first. This may also be expressed by saying that to require restriction between claims limited to species; the claims must not overlap in scope".

For each one of (I) and (II) set forth above, Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species or a grouping of patentably indistinct species to be examined even though the requirement <u>may</u> be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species or grouping of patentably indistinct species. It is noted

Art Unit: 1632

that Applicant's response to the species elections (I) and (II) must be consistent with each other, and consistent with the oligonucleotide domain(s) recognized by the transcription factors recited in claim 7.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species, or groupings of patentably indistinct species from which election is required, are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing them to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Wu-Cheng Winston Shen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3157 and Fax number is 571-273-3157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the supervisory patent

Application/Control Number: 10/596,516 Page 6

Art Unit: 1632

examiner, Peter Paras, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-4517. The fax number for TC 1600 is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wu-Cheng Winston Shen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1632